Now, what would make the trial judge accept the credentials of a PARA-LEGAL, especially when the client has either a retained or court-appointed attorney?

What procedure in proper legal form would make the trial judge stop the trial and hold a hearing out of the presence of the jury and any witnesses? But the question of the Century is yet to come!

What procedure in proper legal form presented by the PARA-LEGAL, would (1) reputiate—the Defense attorneys accusations that a non-officer of the court is claiming "a farce and mockery trial of justice," or that Defense Counsel is either ineffective or incompetent; (2) The Prosecutor motion for a mis-trial; and (3) that the accusations against the judge are neither that of a bias or prejudice judge, so the judge need not disqualify himself (28 USC.144, 455; USCA.Consti. Amend.14; Executive Law N.Y.s.63, sub.2; Consti. N.Y.art.6 \$27; Judiviary Law N.Y.\$ 149, sub.1.-U.S. ex rel. Marty v. Mc-

Sould the trial judge deny the prosedure which consists of one writ, one notice, one motion, and one subpoena; it is immediately appeallable to the next highest court.

Precaution should be taken by the PARA-LEGAL so as not to get into a procedural battle with the Court, which will consist of the Defense attorneys, the Prosecutor, the Judge, and the Court Cerk; because theme individuals whose ethics and moral codes are not to convict the innocent are like cold-blooded killers, will convict without memory or conscience, or even mercy. Remember you are on their Turf-their battleground, so stay with the tried and experienced procedures. Don't try to be cute because they can disqualify you as a PARA-LEGAL.

Now, what would make the trial judge accept the credentials of a PARA»LEGAL, especially' when the client has either a retained or

attorney?

What procedure in proper legal form would make the trial judge stop the

trial and hold a hearing out of the presence of the jury and any witnesses?

Bglt the question of' the Century is yet to come! What procecèluîe in proper legal form presented by the PAR.A-LEGAL', irrould

1 o reputiate--the Defense attorneys accusations that a non--officer~ of the court is claiming "ar farce and mockery trial of justice," or that Defense Counsel is either ineffective or incompetent; (2) The Prosecutor"s motion for a mis-trial; and (3) that the accusations against the judge are neither that of a biassor prejudice judg;e,so the judge need not disqualify himself

should ' "Í - Sould the trial judge deny the prosedure which consists of one writ, one

notice, one motion, and one subpoena; it is immediately appeallable to

the next highest court.

Precaution should be taken by the PARA-»LEGAL so gs not to get into a

procedural battle with the Court, which will consist of the Defense

attorneys, the Prosecutor, the Judge, and the Court Cerk; because these individuals whose epljics and moral codes are not to convict the innocent are like cold-blooded killers, will convict without memorjr or conscience,

or even mercy. Remember you are on their battleground, so stay with the tried and experienned procedures. Don't try to be cute because

they can disqualify you as a PARA-LEGAL. I