

But what do these treaty stipulations require as the type of education this Indian student is to receive?

If we follow Indian education under Federal law from 1856, no where's does it state that this student is to drop tribal education. This known practice comes from Attorney General Opinions which is not law and a violation to these treaty stipulations.

As for the type of education to be given, it is clear, specific, and precise. In terms of law, it deals with the end result to the phraseology of the Indian student.

So with this requirement, upon Graduation, the student would be a master of the english language. A Phonate Phoenix ready to enter the fields of Philology or Philosophy as a basic. A phonic genius.

Nothing less! The Supreme Law requires it and the Institution has received the amount per student that only the very rich can spend for Tutors to educate their children.

So the Indian educational law after 150 years, is a total failure. This is after \$16 Billion dollars every year. To present one or two Indians who received a standard education and work at a standard job does not justify a success.

In more recent times, Indians discovered after college or University Graduation, they did not have an accredited degree, however, it did give them the right to meet on the Campus with other minorities having non-accredited degrees to discuss issues. Little did these Indians understand that their meetings gave the right to individuals with accredited degrees to porpose a grant for funding from Indian educational monies. To this day, none of these Indians with these alleged degrees have figured it out.

No where in these treaty stipulations is a college degree to be omitted. This practice is only a Solicitor General's Opinion and not the law

education this Indian student is to receive?

If we follow Indian education under Federal law from 1856, no where does it state that this student is to drop tribal education. This known practice comes from Attorney General Opinions which is not law and a violation to these treaty stipulations.

As for the type of education to be given, it is clear, specific, and precise. In terms of law, it deals with the end result to the phraseology of the Indian student.

So with this requirement, upon Graduation, the student would be a master of the English language. A Phoenix ready to enter the fields of Philology or Philosophy as a basic. A phonic genius.

Nothing less! The Supreme Law requires it and the Institution has received the amount per student that only the very rich can spend for Tutors to educate their children.

So the Indian educational law after 150 years, is a total failure.

This is after \$16 Billion dollars every year. To present one or two Indians who received a standard education and work at a standard job does not justify a success.

In more recent times, Indians discovered after college or University Graduation, they did not have an accredited degree, however, it did give them the right to meet on the Campus with other minorities having non accredited degrees to discuss issues. Little did these Indians understand that their meetings gave the right to individuals with accredited degrees

to propose a grant for funding from Indian educational monies. To this day, none of these Indians with these alleged degrees have figured it out. No where in these treaty stipulations is a college degree to be

omitted. This practice is only a Solicitor General's Opinion and not the law (4)

S