

Legal implications showing that Indians identified as specific Indians were not these Indians, which helped to create ambiguous interruptions by the courts. Why was such concepts to the Chiefs of the Confederacy hidden, so that the Seven Nations was presented as the Six Nations? With the Seminoles of Oklahoma, why did they help send me to prison by fraudulence methods and lose their rights to monies that would have made them the richest tribe of Indians in the country? Are these legal implications restricted only to Indians? No! Do members of the American Bar restrict their activities to Indians only when they help the courts to create imbiguous interruptions? No! Again personal experience answers these questions. The one in mind is, David Lopez on his conviction. Las Vegas, New Mexico- July 1980. Bear in mind, ~~that his attorney and family have read and seen the contents of this Essay. Again, two concepts are presented-~~ (1) These are Federal Statute ~~The attorneys will present this concept,~~ making ~~the~~ client believe that state statutes are different than any of the Federal laws. (2) That I was a Federal agent so that ~~the~~ supporters would not seek or listen ~~for~~ any kind of help.

After 31 months behind prison bars, I was able to free myself from a 35 year conviction. Legal implications now make it understandable why legal counsel would confuse clients in this manner.

Common horse-sense ~~says,~~ "If someone belonged to a ~~very~~ exclusive membership ~~the~~ profession that could be disqualified as a profession under certain legal circumstances, then whatever is necessary to protect this profession must be done.

Why was I convicted? Better yet, what did I learn legally that makes me an enemy to the legal profession throughout the country or even the whole world.

Legal implicaiions showing: that Indians identified as specific Indians were not these Indians, which helped to., ambiguous interruptions

by the courts. Why was such concept the Chiefs of the Confederacy hidden, so that the Seven Nations were presented as the Six Nations?

With the Seminoles of Oklahoma; 'why did they help me to prison by fraudulent methods and lose their rights to monies that would have made them the richest of Indians in the country?

Are these legal implications restricted only to Indians? No!

Do members of the American Bar restrict their activities to Indians only when they help the courts to create ambiguous interruptions? No! 1

Again personal experience answers these questions. The one in mind is, David Lopez Veg-gas, New Mexico- July 1980. Bear in 's attorney's office - concepts These are Federal Statute

1; making client believe that

state statutes are different than any -of the Federal laws. (2) That I was a Federal agent so that supporters would not seek or listen any kind

After 31 months behind prison bars, I was able to free myself from a 35 year conviction. Legal implications now make it understandable why legal Common someone belonged to exclusive membership

Profession that could be disqualified as a profession under certain circumstances, then whatever is necessary to protect this profession must be done. .

Why was I convicted? Better yet, what did I learn legally that makes me an enemy to the legal profession throughout the country or even the whole world.