

Let us establish still another indisputable fact- that City, County, State, and Federal law enforcement who has agreed how evidence, records, and testimonies are to be collected; who have the informants and operatives under surveillance as they participate in each crime that is charged in the indictment and have disbursed funds for the payment of those who agreed to participate in the crimes and for law enforcement expenses. These funds have all been disbursed before the client is brought to trial.

If an individual placed under surveillance by City, County, State, and Federal law enforcement who have also hired informants and operatives to participate in the crimes with this individual- and this individual can now prove he never committed the crimes, was never in the area where the crimes were committed which now can establish the privileged and classified testimonies are in violation of perjury; who actually committed the crimes?

Does this question need an answer? Can any reader now state that a licensed attorney will not side with the court to get his client convicted? What licensed attorney would ask a court for leave for the accusation of perjury committed be entered into the records of the trial in full knowledge it would involve law enforcement at all levels, informants and operatives, and principal prosecution witnesses: and open for the client to draw from a fund especially set up by Congress to receive \$50,000. for every count on the criminal indictment that he was charged with? You quote one court case history in which it was permitted.

So as a PARA-LEGAL how to disqualify this licensed attorney which will keep him from convicting his client now becomes the procedure that makes the PARA-LEGAL qualified in professional responsibility to this client.

Let us establish still another indisputable fact- that City, County, has agreed how evidence, records, State, and Federal law enforcement who has agreed how evidence, and testimonies are to be collected; who have the informants and operatives under surveillance as they participate in each crime that is charged in the indictment and have disbursed funds for the payment of those who agreed to participate in the crimes and for law enforcement expenses.

These funds have all been disbursed before the client is brought to trial.

If an individual placed under surveillance by City, County, State, and Federal law enforcement who have also hired informants and operatives to participate in the crimes with this individual- and this individual can now prove he never committed the crimes, was never in the area where the crimes were committed which now can establish the privileged and classified testimonies are in violation of perjury who actually committed

Does this question need an answer? Can any reader now state that a

licensed attorney will not side with the court to get his client convicted? What licensed attorney would ask a court for leave for the accusation

of perjury committed be entered into the records of the trial an full knowledge it would involve law enforcement "at" all levels, informants and operatives, and principal prosecution .lwitnessesz and open for the client

to draw from a fund especially set up by Cnngress to receive \$50,000. for every count on the èriminal indictment that he was charged with?

You quote one court case history in which it was permitted.

So'as a PARA-LEGAL how to disqualify this licensed attorney which will keep

him from convicting his client now becomes the procedure that makes the qualified in professional responsibility to this client.