

Because the client has been accused of crimes by an informant or operative who had a grant of immunity issued from Title 18 USC 6001-5 presented to the client's attorney as a court-order issued by the trial judge. There has already taken place an "In Chambers" conference between the client's attorney, judge and the prosecutor, without the knowledge of the client because the evidence and testimony of this informant has become privileged and classified information which can only be reviewed by an "In Camera" proceedings that the Supreme Court did not open up in procedure till June 1976.

1. How can a client defend himself if his attorney is withholding classified evidence?
2. What average citizen on the street when charged by indictment, of crimes, possesses legal procedures to prove his innocence if his attorney is working to get him convicted?
3. What defense attorney can prevent the trial judge's court-order from being shown while the jury is deliberating a verdict, when the court-order has promised the informant a conviction for his privileged and classified testimony?
4. After the client has been convicted and is in prison, what licensed attorney employed by a prisoner legal assistance program, can ignore a trial judge's court-order that still protects privileged and classified evidence that is needed to make the accusation of perjury committed?

Please remember one discouraging fact. The court where the trial was held and the client got convicted is called the court of competent jurisdiction and as a convicted prisoner you will now find you have a new enemy that will do everything in its power to keep you convicted. If you think the trial judge and the prosecutor are enemies to protect any writs from making the accusation of perjury committed, you will now find the court clerk will probably waste one to two years of your time playing out their legal tricks. If you should ever get free from your convictions, no other mentioned profession will make you hate as much as when they mention a court clerk.

Because the client has been accused of crimes by an informant or operative who had a grant of immunity issued from Title 18 USC 6001-5 presented to the client's attorney as a court-order issued by the trial judge. There has already taken place an "In Chambers" conference between the client's attorney, judge and the prosecutor, without the knowledge of the client because the evidence and testimony of this informant has become privileged and classified information which can only be reviewed by an "In Camera" proceedings that the Supreme Court did not open up in procedure till June 1976.

3. How can a client defend himself if his attorney is withholding classified evidence? -

2. What average citizen on the street when charged by indictment, of crimes, possesses legal procedures to prove his innocence if his attorney is working to get him convicted?

3. What defense attorney can prevent the trial judge's court-order

from being shown while the jury is deliberating a verdict when the court-order has promised the informant a conviction for his privileged

and classified testimony?

Please remember one discouraging fact. The court where the trial was held and the client got convicted is called the court of competent jurisdiction and as a convicted prisoner you will now find you have a new enemy that will do everything in its power to keep you convicted.

If you think the trial judge and the prosecutor are enemies to protect any writs from making the accusation of perjury committed, you will now find the court clerk will probably waste one to two years of your time playing out their legal tricks. If you should ever get free from your convictions, no other mentioned profession will make you hate as much as when they mention a court clerk.