

The PARA-LEGAL!

The PARA-LEGAL could use his authority to prosecute a case on alleged crimes committed in place of the authorized Prosecutor because he or she is not bound by Immunity granted, which the Prosecutor and the Judge are.

"You say that you have been advised that there is a simple solution? an attorney is governed by strict moral and ethic codes of the American Bar Association who can revoke his state license!"

TRUE! but the local Bar Meetings of attorneys in the various states are managed by Judges. There has already been a reversal on a conviction where it was proved in a court of law, that the Judge who presided over the local American Bar Association meetings, demanded a conviction of a client from the attorneys who were attending the meeting.

To date, I have helped file over 50 Complaint writs with the American Bar Association in various states using their standard form. These Complaints contained evidence and witnesses in possession of the retained attorney at the time of trial which would have proven the client innocent and the charges in the Indictment perjury, which were never used. Only one legal position could have prevented the attorney from using this evidence. A grant of immunity.

This Complaint writ before it can be accepted, must be counter-signed by a licensed attorney. Attorneys like birds, flock together because no attorney will go against another attorney.

In my own case, if the ABA would accept a Complaint writ, facts that would appear in court records would be: 1) All the prosecution witnesses committed perjury, 2) That a grant of money was received from local law-assistance so the prosecution witnesses could commit the crimes, and, 3) That the prosecution witnesses hired individuals to kidnap me so the crimes could be committed. Take another look at the names of attorneys that know this.

The PARALEGAL could use his authority to prosecute a case on alleged crimes committed in place of the authorized Prosecutor because he or she is not bound by Immunity granted, which the Prosecutor and the Judge are. as well as by strict moral and ethical codes of the American Bar Association who can revoke his state license!"

TRUE but the local Bar Meetings of attorneys in the various states are managed by Judges. There has already been a reversal on a conviction where it was proved in a court of law, that the Judge who presided over the local American Bar Association meetings, demanded a conviction of a client from the attorneys who were attending the meeting.

To date, I have 50 Write with the American Bar Association in various states using their standard form. These Complaints contained evidence and witnesses in possession of the retained attorney at the time of trial which would have proven the client innocent and the charges in the Indictment perjury, which were never used. Only one legal position could have prevented the attorney from using this evidence. A grant of immunity.

This Complaint writ before it can be accepted, must be counter-signed by a licensed attorney. Attorneys like birds, flock together because no attorney will go against another attorney.

In my Own case, if the ABA would accept a Complaint writ, facts that would appear in would be: 1) All the prosecution witnesses committed PERJURY, 2) That a grant of money was received from local law-assistance prosecution witnesses hired individuals to kidnap me so the crimes could be committed. Take another look at the names of attorneys that know this.