



A FRIENDLY

The Title of this Chapter is really misleading when one reviews Court History on the American Indian seeking relief, then one must; also consider the Allegiance Clause Judges in this country are held to.

"THE COURTS OF THIS COUNTRY HAVE NEVER BEEN OPEN TO INDIANS AND YOUR CIVIL LIBERTY HAS NEVER BEEN GIVEN TO US." Jaeger -V- U.S.f

That is clear as a Bell! Before one they must possess a Civil Liberty. If an Statutes on the American Indian, charges commits a crime against another Indian or 27 Ct.Cl . 278.

can use the Courts of a Country, Indian would look at the Criminal can only be filed if an Indian if an Indian commits a crime against a non-Indians and it can't work the other way. I've tried. This same legal Rule can only be found on PRISONERS OF WAR. .

If one did a certain amount of Study on HAGUE REGULATIONS of 1899 and 1907; Geneva Convention of 1929 and 1949- GUARDIANSHIP, ALIENATION and SEVERALTY of the American Indian are terms for control on PRISONERS OF WAR. I've read a lot of Court History on the American Indian including the so-called alleged scholar, Felix S. Cohan in his book--Handbook on FEDERAL INDIAN LAW, he makes no mention of this legal situation.

PRISONERS OF WAR can use the civil courts of the nation they are confined in as long as their Complaints follow the accepted Rules on the Geneva Convention of 1949. For this reason we have 43 U.S.C.A.1460,1461,1464 so no Attorney representing an Indian, will go beyond the rights of a PRISONER OF WAR and to assure Attorneys will never again make the mistake legally on court case, CARROLL -V- PATHKILLER, 3 Port.279 on Indians who were not Prisoners of War, were of Royal Bloodlines and were Kings and Queen It has already been shown where Dakota Indians giving up their own Sovereign Indian Government and accepting an Autonomy-type -with- the United States which made them Nationals of the U.S., than sign the Treaty or Agreement of 1868, this encompassed over 75% of Dakota Indians leaving a small group who were still the Sovereignty and would have nothing to do with this treaty. The U.S.Government was so sure they could wipe out the Dakota Sovereignty in time using those belonging to Autonomy Governments, Congress passed 43 U.S.C.A. 1459 relieving the Secretary of State from associating with the Dakota Sovereignty,

where the Secretary of the Interior

would take over, but could deal with Indians who were now Nationals of the

U.S. only as of March 1, 1873.

In studying HAGUE REGULATIONS OF 1899, 1907; Geneva Conventions of 1929